

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				 	<u> </u>	
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY	DOCKET NO.	
09718	7,551 11	705798	MUSAKA	K	AM524R1/	289

IM22/0720

PATENT COUNSEL, M/S 2061 LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. P.O. BOX 450A SANTA CLARA CA 95052

EX	AMINER
PAI	GETT, M
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
176	52 /1
DATE MAN 5D	07/20/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE P	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	•			
a)) 2∕⁄ is	extended to run or	r continues to run <u>3 mart 1</u>	$\frac{1}{5}$ from the date of the	final rejection	
	xpires three months from the date of the vent however, will the statutory period for				
T: pi	ny extension of time must be obtained by he date on which the response, the petiti urposes of determining the period of exte 17 will be calculated from the date of the	ion , and the fee have been filed ension and the corresponding are	is the date of the response sount of the fee. Any exte	e and also the date for the nsion fee pursuant to 37 CFR	
Appel	lant's Brief is due in accordance with 37	CFR 1.192(a).			
	cant's response to the final rejection, filed ce the application in condition for allowar		considered with the follow	ing effect, but it is not deemed	
l. ⊠ π	e proposed amendments to the claim an	nd /or specification will not be en	ered and the final rejection	n stands because:	
a.	There is no convincing showing under presented.	er 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the prop	oosed amendment is nece	ssary and was not earlier	
b.	They raise new issues that would red	quire further consideration and/o	r search. (See Note).		
c.	They raise the issue of new matter.	(See Note).			
d.	They are not deemed to place the a appeal.	application in better form for appe	eal by materially reducing	or simplifying the issues for	
е.	☐ They present additional claims with	out cancelling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected cl	aims.	
	OTE: In the last 2 lines of class selections introduced, as the conting layer creating layer selections are consulted claims.	m 27 "the layer" P "the eve is no distinuishing in the like would chimine liminate. It is a company would be allowed	to this ampiguity	n refer to bither of the most all instruction which will be more than the grand of the control o	etwo L crup of L'enside
	pon the filing an appeal, the proposed and as follows:	mendment 🗌 will be entered 🕽	Will not be entered and	the status of the claims will	
	laims allowed:	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		
	laims objected to: 7-10 P27	1-34	- -		
æ	However; Applicant's response has overcome the full Class giass in Claim &	1	e corrected the p	nohlen Jansepowter	<i>)</i>
uguene	ne affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsi and conserving various stre my prior art to support		Supported by the	ir specylogitim, nor a	smake lother
pi	clan fication with support opposed drawing correction has has well to cle	NOT be Con ain Con I of the STress in I has not been approved by the termine whather	rg. Evidence		f John
on i	ments for No new matter operate and consunce	r + no recupture a	re	MARIANNE PADGET PRIMARY EXAMINE	T R
TOL-302	DEV 5.80) .+71 - (V	_	PRIMARY EXAMINE	